

# Preliminary Progress Report on

# Southern California Beaked Whale Occurrence from Towed Array Surveys

Kaitlin Frasier, Macey Rafter, John Hildebrand, Simone Baumann-Pickering

Marine Physical Laboratory Scripps Institution of Oceanography University of California San Diego La Jolla, CA 92037

## Overview

The goal of our project "Southern California Beaked Whale Occurrence from Towed Array Surveys" is to support the US Navy environmental compliance requirements for monitoring marine mammals. This research effort is performed by the University of California San Diego (UCSD) Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO) in La Jolla, CA as part of a larger effort.

Data collected by a shipboard towed array acoustic survey for marine mammals is being analyzed. These data will provide for spatial assessment of Southern California beaked whale occurrence from towed array surveys.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE						Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
gathering and maintaini of information, includin 1215 Jefferson Davis H Paperwork Reduction P	ng the data needed, and og suggestions for reducin ighway, Suite 1204, Arli roject (0704-0188) Wash	completing and reviewing ng this burden to Washing ngton, VA 22202-4302, a nington, DC 20503.	verage 1 hour per response, ir the collection of information gton Headquarters Service, Di ind to the Office of Management <b>IE ABOVE ADDRES</b>	. Send comments regarding rectorate for Information O ent and Budget,	this burden esti	mate or any other aspect of this collection	
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)2. REPORT TYPE01-2019Monitoring report					3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 2004 – 2018		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE PRELIMINARY PROGRESS REPORT ON SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA BEAKED WHALE OCCURRENCE					5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
FROM TOWED ARRAY SURVEYS					5b. GRANT NUMBER		
					5c. PRC	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Kaitlin Frasier					5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
Macey Rafter John Hildebrand Simone Baumann-Pickering					5e. TAS	5e. TASK NUMBER	
5f. W0					5f. WOF	RK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Marine Physical Laboratory, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA						8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Commander, U.S.Pacific Fleet, 250 Makalapa Dr. Pearl Harbor, HI						10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
						11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited							
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES							
Navy enviror University of effort. Data collecte	our project "So imental comp California Sa od by a shipbo	liance require n Diego (UCS pard towed arr	ments for monito D) Scripps Institu ay acoustic surv	oring marine ma ution of Ocean ey for marine n	ammals. ography nammals	wed Array Surveys" is to support the US This research effort is performed by the (SIO) in La Jolla, CA as part of a larger is being analyzed. These data will from towed array surveys.	
15. SUBJECT T Monitoring, p Complex		tic analyses, r	narine mammals	s, toothed whal	es, beak	ed whales, Southern California Range	
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES		OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON ment of the Navy	
a. REPORT Unclassified	<b>b. ABSTRACT</b> Unclassified			4	19b. TELEPONE NUMBER (Include area code) 808-471-6391		

### Introduction

California Cooperative Fisheries Investigation (CalCOFI) cruises provide the most detailed, spatial and long-term surveys for marine mammals in the Southern California Bight, coincident with the region of the SOCAL Range Complex. They are superior to all other surveys for the SOCAL naval training area as they have excellent spatial coverage, they provide temporal coverage in all seasons, and overall greater numbers of detections provide improved geographic distributional and regional abundance estimates.

Towed array data were collected four times per year over the past fifteen years (2004-2018) during 52 survey cruises. A subset of towed array data was preliminarily screened and showed acoustic detections (clicks and whistles) of a variety of odontocete species' signals. We identified occasional beaked whale acoustic encounters and estimate that there will be a handful of encounters per each cruise. The primary obstacle to performing a detailed analysis is the development of an automated routine to remove echo sounder detections as false detections from echolocation click detections. The subsequent challenges is to identify the few, rare beaked whale signals within the remaining echolocation clicks, dominated by delphinid species. This issue can be successfully addressed because the sonar and odontocete signal characteristics are distinct. We suggest to optimize an automatic detection process and to develop detectors which can be successfully applied to this data set to evaluate beaked whale occurrence across southern California from these towed array surveys.

#### **Current progress:**

We have implemented an unsupervised learning algorithm to distinguish between impulse signals associated with echosounders, vessel propeller cavitation, and beaked whale and dolphin echolocation clicks based on differences in their acoustic spectra. This algorithm has been tested on approximately one half of the available CalCOFI towed array recordings (2008-2014), and reliably separates the four signal types at the level of individual signals (e.g. Figure 1). This strategy addresses the first dataset challenge of separating echosounders and other false positives from the beaked whale detections. A key benefit of this approach is that the features of the true and false detections are learned by the algorithm rather than dictated by pre-determined approximations. This is important for towed array data which is collected in a particularly complex, unpredictable near-surface acoustic environment.



Figure 1. In this example from CalCOFI 2009 data, the unsupervised learning algorithm has reviewed detections in a five minute time window and automatically identified three distinct, recurrent signal types based on spectral features without prior knowledge of the expected categories. Mean spectra of these types are shown on the left-most subplot including beaked whale echolocation clicks (blue), dolphin echolocation clicks (red), and echosounders (yellow). The center subplot shows the concatenated spectra of the detections associated with each type, with black lines delimiting the distinct groupings. The right-most plot shows the inter-click interval (ICI) calculated for each group. Peaks in ICI distributions represent typical cue rates for these signals.

To date, we have used this algorithm to identify distinct categories of signals within a subset of towed array detection data (Figure 2). Our next step is to train a neural network using these categories to sequentially identify the false detections across the entire dataset, and flag them for removal. The remaining candidate beaked whale signals will then be further examined to identify clear beaked whale events.



Figure 2. Categories of marine mammal and anthropogenic signals detected in the CalCOFI towed array dataset from 2008-2014. Black box on top row indicates marine mammal (dolphin and beaked whale echolocation click) categories. All other categories represent different types of echosounders and propeller noise which often obscure other signals of interest within the dataset.