U.S. Navy Marine Species Monitoring Program

This is a work product for the purpose of technical review. All data and information in this presentation is preliminary and should not be cited or reproduced without first contacting the presenter. All field work is conducted under appropriate permits under ESA/MMPA.

Please visit the US Navy Marine Species Monitoring Program web portal for additional information on this project – <u>www.navymarinespeciesmonitoring.us</u>

US Navy Marine Species Monitoring Program – Annual Technical Review Meeting San Diego, CA 14-17 March 2016







PMRF PASSIVE ACOUSTIC MONITORING DURING ASW EXERCISES

presented at the 2015 US Navy Marine Species Monitoring Technical Review Meeting, San Diego, CA

presented by Stephen Martin, NMMF March 16, 2016

Team members: Liz Henderson, Tyler Helble, Roanne Manzano-Roth (SPAWAR Systems Center Pacific) and Brian Matsuyama & Cameron Martin (NMMF).

Presentation overview

- History of MFAS analysis during US Navy training @PMRF
- Before-During-After experimental paradigm
- Current methods of estimating RL
- Est. RL exposures 2 fin, 1 minke Feb 15-16, 2015
- Est. RL exposures 1 minke 17 Feb 2015 onset of SCC phase B MFAS
- Feb 2016 onset of SCC MFAS preliminary analysis
- Summary & Conclusions
- Future efforts

History

- On-line reports estimating Receive Levels on marine mammals at PMRF from 2011-2014 are available at: <u>http://www.navymarinespeciesmonitoring.us/</u>
- 2011 Hawaii Regional Complex reports
 - Martin and Kok, 'Analysis for Marine Mammals Before, During and After the Feb 2011 Submarine Commanders Course Training Exercise', Appendix N to 2011 yearly report.
 - Methods for estimating exposures which may be applied to any localized animal (e.g. PAM, MMO, aerial sighting).
 - Focus period of 90 min of data 17 Feb 2011.
 - Identified importance of: surface ducted propagation at PMRF and relative bearing of animal w.r.t. MFAS ship heading
 - Provided est. RL for minke whale, humpback whale, unident whale for two propagation models (ray trace and sonar equation) with levels between 141 to 162 dB re 1 microPascal (rms)
 - Identified importance of model validation using in-situ acoustic data collection (estimated a 7dB bottom loss @ PMRF for ~ 3 kHz)



History (cont.)

- 2012 On-line reports re monitoring during US Navy training
 - Martin and Manzano-Roth. 2012. Estimated acoustic exposures on marine mammals sighted during a US Naval training event in Feb 2011
 - Refined methods applicable to MMO & aerial sightings (sighting accuracy, delta time MFAS to animal location, animal movement)
 - Compared PCIMAT estimates with sonar equation w/ducting and spherical to cylindrical spreading
 - Martin et al. 2012. Estimating Sound Pressure Levels that Acoustically Detected Beaked Whales Were Exposed to During a US Naval Training Event in Hawaiian Waters, Feb 2011
 - 10 bw group dives from Feb 2011 est. RL's using PCIMAT showing strong surface ducting
 - "localize" to hydrophone location +/-6 km



History (cont.)

- 2013 On-line reports re monitoring during US Navy training
 - Manzano-Roth et al. 'Impacts of a U.S. Navy training event on beaked whales in Hawaiian waters', Feb 2012 SCC, 258 Md group dives & 31 Cross Seamount type dives, PCIMAT, 10 dives during MFAS 13 to 52 km distant w/ large variation in est. RLs again showing strong surface ducting, historical and in-situ SVP issues

2014 On-line reports re monitoring during US Navy training

- Martin et al. 'Minke whales respond to navy training', large study area >3800 km², 2011-2013, reduced min. density of minke whales during MFAS training (phase B of SCC). Navy annual report -> JASA 135(5)
 - Baird et al., 2014. Assessment of Modeled Received Sound
 Pressure Levels and Movements of Satellite-Tagged
 Odontocetes Exposed to MFAS at the Pacific Missile Range
 Facility: February 2011 Through February 2013.
 - Henderson et al. 'Impacts of U.S. Navy Training Events on Beaked Whale Foraging Dives in Hawaiian Waters: Update'

minke whales respond to navy training 766 hr data from multiple hydrophones Feb 3 years

count localized individuals

159.8° W

Kilometers

160.1° W

 \widehat{D}_{\min} (95% CI) in 3,780 sq.km study area



Before – During – After paradigm (issues re other training events)

- Feb 2015 (SCC ΦA 11th-13th, Φ B 17th-20th) AND SUBEX 5th, AirASWEX 9th-10th, NSFS Gunnery & MFAS ASW CERTEX 15th
- Feb 2016 (SCC Φ A 10th-12th, Φ B 15th 18th) AND 9th SUBEX 0730-1700, AIRASWEX 0900-1400 P3, LMR OASIS REMUS ACOMS 14 Feb a few hours. Gunex planned for 19th Feb.
- and <u>uncontrollable</u> factors such as prey abundance and

Killer Whales on mid BSURE Feb 2016 before SCC training event

Phones K5/J4/K4 10 Feb ~1400 local time



Killer Whales on mid BSURE Feb 2016 before SCC training event

- Phones K5/J4/K4 10 Feb ~midnight local time
- Robin Baird: report of killer whales in the area post SCC.
- Similar to signals in Simonis et al. JASA 131(4)
 'High frequency modulated signals of killer whales in the north Pacific'
- Similar to signals in Filatova et al. JASA 132(6) 'Ultrasonic whistles of killer whales in the North Pacific'. Results mentioned 'pieces of tissue (from possible unidentified prey) observed floating on the waters surface' midway through 2 h encounter near Bering Island (western North Pacific).

Updated Methods (late 2015-2016):

- Need ship positions & time of MFAS & whale localizations
- Auto-localize fin, sei, Bryde's, humpback & sperm whales, manual and semi-auto tracking of locs
- Delta time between sonar pulse and animal location
- **Propagation models** (**Peregrine** & sonar equation) to estimate RL at animals batch mode (previously PCIMAT)
 - Required as no Acoustic tag on animal
 - Peregrine provides a vector of estimates over distance allowing statistical description of sound field
- Future need more samples, possible to account for each ping from multiple ships and est. cumulative SEL on multiple animals present at different distances from MFAS training.

Relatively new restrictions

- New direction Dec 2015 re unclassified information
 - Unable to say how many MFAS ships involved when > 1
 - Unable to say which sonar types (e.g. 53C, 56) used.
- Current approach was reviewed and got confirmation re suitability for unclassified reporting

Recent samples of exposures

Feb 2015 SCC related (in 2015 year end report)

 Feb 2016 SCC related VERY preliminary analysis

Two fin whales & one minke whale exposures Feb 2015



Minke whales tracks after ASWEX to before start of SCC ΦB (surface ship MFAS)



Onset of SCC phase B 17 Feb 2015 ~12:00 GMT



est. RL of 160 dB from 11km.

Onset of PMRF SCC phase B data 17 Feb 2015 at 12:00 (data 10:10 to 14:17, closest minke whale encounter details)



Feb 2016 onset of SCC

Φ B **prelim** analysis

- From information obtained on-site
- 3 minke whales calling before & after MFAS activities
- Recorded data arrived, needs copied and detailed analysis (missed calls, confirming locs, inband noise levels, etc.)
 ^{22.5}
 ^{22.4}
 ^{22.4}





Summary & Conclusions

- Opportunistic Behavioral Response Study using PAM
 - Evolving since Feb 2011 when first obtained During data
 - Behavioral response: Ceasassion of calling, call intervals?, swim kinematics?
 - Information when animal not calling limited (assume linear path between updates attributed to the individual).
 - Onset of MFAS activities high interest
- Large area reductions of number of calling whales
- Methods and metrics continue to improve
 - Histograms of estimated RLs insightful (tag brief)
- Need to systematically go through data and document large N using robust baselines

Future work

- Continued analysis of recorded training events
 Feb 2011 and after
- Correlations with oceanographic data (e.g. satellite remote sensing) and prey densities
- Analysis of historical data back to 2003
- ONR proposed effort 'Behavioral Response Evaluation Employing robust baselines' collaboration with CREEM, SSC PAC and NMMF.

