The Acoustic Behavior of Minke Whales in Relation to Mid-Frequency Active Sonar

Cory Ann Hom-Weaver1, Thomas Norris1, Julie Oswald1, Tina M Yack1, Sage Hornung1, Cornelia Oedekoven2, Len Thomas2, Lynne Hodge3, Andrew Read3, and Joel Bell4

1 Bio-Waves Inc., 364 2nd Street, Suite 3, Encinitas, CA 92024, USA
2 Division of Marine Science and Conservation, Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, 135 Duke Marine Lab Road, Beaufort, NC 28516, USA
3 Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) Atlantic, 5972 Maryland Avenue, Norfolk, VA 23512, USA
4 Fleet Forces Command

Correspondence: chomweaver@bio-waves.net

Introduction

- A preliminary analysis of Atlantic minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) acoustic behavior using data collected off Jacksonville, FL in fall and winter 2009-2010 indicated a possible change in vocal activity during periods of Mid-Frequency Active Sonar (MFAS) (Charif et al. 2014).
- Our objective was to conduct an exploratory analysis to compare characteristics of pulse trains between four treatment periods: 24 hrs. before, during, between, and 24 hrs. after sonar events.

Methods

Data Collection
- March 15th-April 11th 2012 using a High-frequency Acoustic Recording Package (HARP) deployed off Cape Hatteras, North Carolina.

Sonar Events
- Periods of MFAS were annotated using the custom MATLAB® software, Triton.
- Sonar events (n=13) were defined as having <30 min intervals between consecutive MFAS pings.

Pulse train annotation
- Triton was used to annotate pulse trains 24 hours before, during, between, and 24 hours after MFAS.

Pulse train characteristics analyzed
- Duration (start of first pulse to end of last pulse)
- Bandwidth (upper minus lower frequencies)
- Pulse train type: constant, slow-down, speed-up, or unidentified (too faint to determine)

Pulse train characteristics were compared within four treatment periods based on sonar events
1. Before Sonar (24 hours)
2. During Sonar (21.7 hours)
3. Between Sonar (51.4 hours)
4. After Sonar (24 hours)

Statistical Analyses
- Fisher’s exact test
- Non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test
- Post-hoc Dunn’s test with Bonferroni adjustment

Results

- Proportion of pulse train types was significantly different within and among treatments (Fisher’s exact test p<0.001).

Pulse Train Type Characteristics

- Call rates were lower during and between MFAS compared to before and after MFAS.
- Call rates were higher after MFAS compared to all treatment periods.
- Propagation of “unidentified” pulse train types increased during and between MFAS while the proportion of other pulse train types decreased during these time periods.
- Duration was significantly shorter during and between MFAS compared to after MFAS.
- Pulse train bandwidth was significantly lower during and between MFAS, and it was significantly higher after MFAS compared to all treatment periods.

Conclusions
- Aspects of minke whale vocal behavior (call rate, vocal type, and pulse train duration and bandwidth) appeared to show significant differences among treatment periods.
- These results suggest that minke whale vocal behavior may change in response to MFAS.
- More research (i.e., larger sample sizes) is needed to examine these potential responses in more detail.

Recommendations/Future Work

- Use more advanced statistical methods to address temporal dependencies.
- Use more advanced statistical methods to address temporal dependencies.
- Tagging or acoustic localization of individual animals is needed to help understand movement patterns and vocalization behaviors relative to MFAS.
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